



# International Amateur Radio Union Region 1

Europe, Middle East, Africa and Northern Asia

Founded 1950



## General Conference, Davos, 11 to 16 September 2005

<b>SUBJECT</b>		<b>Radio Regulatory Working Group Report 2002-2005</b>	
<b>Society</b>	<b>RRWG</b>	<b>Country:</b>	<b>Region 1</b>
<b>Committee:</b>		<b>Paper number:</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>Contact:</b>	<b>Dr R C Whelan, G3PJT</b>	<b>e-mail:</b>	<b>g3pjt@btinternet.com</b>

1. **Introduction.** Activity since 2002 , IARU Region 1 has included attendance at six meetings of CEPT WGRA and three meetings of the RA6 sub group with the following results.
  - a. T/R 6101 has been revised following WRC and adopted by 17 Administrations. Redrafting by RRWG was accepted as the basis for the new version. Article was published in RadCom on the implications of these changes. A text for the OH2MCN licencing website was agreed and is on that site for the guidance of visiting amateurs to CEPT countries.
  - b. T/R 6102 has also been revised and adopted by 12 Administrations. Again the RRWG draft was used as the basis to these negotiations.
  - c. CITELE although CEPT RRWG has sent a MoU for the conclusion of an agreement between CITELE and CEPT sometime ago the documents still await CITELE approval.
  - d. A number of non-CEPT countries have advised national equivalence to the new versions of a) and b) , New Zealand, Israel, Australia (2005) and others are pending e.g. Thailand etc.
  - e. Relations with the European Radio Office (ERO) have been established to enable the handling of ad hoc questions on amateur matters.
2. **Current issues**
  - a. Maintenance of pressure on Administrations to update their status on TR 6101/2 and the ERO website.
  - b. Clarification of the principles and ambiguous areas in licencing. There continue to be individuals who do not understand that just because a country is listed as being a member of CEPT does not mean that country has adopted the specific decisions such as 6101/2 e.g. Russia.
  - c. Maintaining the support of Administrations, international agencies and industry for the radio amateur service.
3. **Future goals**
  - a. Continue to press for existing CEPT Administrations to adopt the revised TR 6101 and 6102.
  - b. Complete the Entry Level Licence proposals and gain the formal support of CEPT for this IARU Region 1 initiative.
  - c. Convince Administrations to take a more benign and positive view of radio amateurs and recognise their value to society.

4. **Policy questions** There a number of policy questions which have arisen in the RRWG which should be debated by Conference:-
- a. Should we press for full CEPT harmonisation for Entry Level Licences?
  - b. Should Entry level Licences have international roaming?
  - c. What should be our response to 'licence free' proposals?
  - d. Should we recommend that Administrations have no more than two licence classes with appropriate privileges, at the higher level in line with CEPT TR6101 and HAREC and the lower based on a CEPT (?) Entry Level Licence.
  - e. Should we continue to press for a greater role in radio amateur administration to be undertaken by the national IARU society and hence reduce costs for national Administrations?
  - f. Should we encourage the recognition of amateur radio examinations should be a recognised educational or vocational qualification?
  - g. What should be our relationships with industry as they are the other group who are actively seeking new regulation in the radio spectrum and who are experiencing difficulties finding RF Engineers?

**Recommendation.**

That Conference endorse the proposed RRWG work programme with CEPT on TR 6101 and 6102, including the Entry Level Licence programme and general radio amateur licence issues.

R.C.Whelan G3PJT  
Chair RRWG,  
IARU R1